

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/756,554	01/13/2004	Terry Frank Allen	DN2002113-D01	2670	
7590 05/26/2004			EXAMINER		
	operty Law Departmen	HESS, DOUGLAS A			
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company 1144 East Market Street Akron, OH 44316-0001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3651		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		A 10 40		A 1: 4 (-)				
		Application	i NO.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons		10/756,554		ALLEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Douglas A		3651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput of the provision of	136(a). In no ever ply within the statut d will apply and will tte, cause the applic	it, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c	ly. xommunication.			
Status								
·								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 January 2004</u> is/ard Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The Specification In Speci	re: a) acce e drawing(s) be ection is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/255,950 Page 1

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/53952 (as cited by applicant) in view of Botzman et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,554

Art Unit: 3651

WO 00/53952 teaches a method of splicing a conveyor belt using a bottom grooved vulcanized rubber and a top portion. WO 00/53952 fails to disclose the rubber composition percentages as claimed. Botzman et al. teach the use of the claimed features (see column 2, lines 17-28). It would have been obvious to utilize the compositions of Botzman et al. for the spliced rubber composition pieces of WO 00/53952 based on the actual conveyor applications and the type of properties desired by the belt and splice which are all design considerations of the environmental conditions that are present.

4. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/53952 in view of Botzman et al.

WO 00/53952 in view of Botzman et al. teach the claimed combination as outlined above, however, there is no specific teaching which compares an adhesion rate to an Australian Standard-1333. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a dynamic adhesion rate which would be suitable for that device as taught by WO 00/53952 in view of Botzman et al., since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980.)

If the applicant wishes to pursue the claimed subject matter involving an Australian Standard, please provide the examiner with a copy of the standard and, if possible, which standards it may relate to in ASTM terms.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,554

Art Unit: 3651

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 5.

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6.

examiner should be directed to Doug Hess whose telephone number is (703) 308-3428. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 5:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris Ellis, can be reached on (703) 308-2560.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703)-308-0552.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Hess

May 19, 2004

Page 3